# Vapor Pressure, Heat Capacity, and Density along the Saturation Line, Measurements for Dimethyl Isophthalate, Dimethyl Carbonate, 1,3,5-Triethylbenzene, Pentafluorophenol, 4-*tert*-Butylcatechol, α-Methylstyrene, and *N*,*N*-Bis(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylenediamine

W. V. Steele,\*,<sup>†</sup> R. D. Chirico,<sup>†</sup> S. E. Knipmeyer,<sup>†</sup> and A. Nguyen<sup>†</sup>

IIT Research Institute, National Institute for Petroleum and Energy Research, P.O. Box 2128, Bartlesville, Oklahoma 74005

This paper reports measurements made for DIPPR Research Project 821 in the 1993 Project Year. Vapor pressures were measured to a pressure limit of 270 kPa or lower decomposition point for all seven compounds using a twin ebulliometric system and, for dimethyl isophthalate and N,N-bis(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylenediamine, additionally an inclined-piston apparatus. Liquid-phase densities along the saturation line were measured for each compound over a range of temperature (ambient to a maximum of 548 K). A differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) was used to measure two-phase (liquid + vapor) heat capacities for each compound in the temperature region ambient to the critical temperature or lower decomposition point. Where possible, the critical temperature and critical density for each compound were determined experimentally. The results of the measurements were combined to derive a series of thermophysical properties including critical temperature, critical density, critical pressure, acentric factor, enthalpies of vaporization (restricted to within  $\pm 50$  K of the temperature region of the experimentally determined vapor pressures), enthalpies of fusion if solid at ambient temperature, solubility parameter, and heat capacities along the saturation line. Wagner-type vapor-pressure equations were derived for each compound. In addition, the liquid-phase densities were compared with values derived using a threeterm  $[(1 - T_{\rm p})^{1/3}]$  power series. All measured and derived values were compared with those obtained in a search of the literature. Recommended critical parameters are listed for each of the compounds studied. A "Rule-Of-Thumb" derived in the 1992 Project Year is used to estimate thermal decomposition temperatures by radical scission from a knowledge of the bond dissociation energy or vice versa.

## Introduction

For over 40 years this laboratory has been at the forefront of technical development in the accurate and precise measurement of vapor pressures of pure compounds in the pressure range 0.01 kPa to 270 kPa and temperature range 100 K to 675 K. Details of the equipment used and recent innovations to extend the temperature range from 450 K to the present 675 K limit are given (Swietoslawski, 1945; Osborn and Douslin, 1966; Chirico et al., 1989b; Douslin and McCullough, 1963; Douslin and Osborn, 1965; Steele et al., 1988a). In the pressure range 0.01 kPa to 3.5 kPa, an inclined-piston gauge is used to make the vapor-pressure measurements. Twin ebulliometers are used in the pressure range 2 kPa to 270 kPa. The overlap region provides a check of the consistency of the methods. This is necessary in accurate and precise work since the inclined-piston is a static apparatus and, hence, the results are susceptible to the presence of dissolved gases in the sample.

The pressure in the ebulliometric apparatus is determined precisely by the condensation temperature of the standard substance (*n*-decane or water). The ebulliometric measurements are not susceptible to dissolved gases since they are removed in the refluxing operation of the equipment. The difference between the boiling and condensation temperatures for the substance under study is monitored continuously and is directly related to the purity of the sample. For thermally unstable compounds, the onset of sample decomposition is evidenced by a sharp rise in this

<sup>†</sup> Present address: BDM Petroleum Technologies, P.O. Box 2543, Bartlesville, OK 74005-2543. difference. Impurities, resulting from decomposition, at levels as low as 0.1% can be readily detected.

A goal of this project is to determine the vapor-pressure up to the critical point or lower decomposition point. For many compounds the equipment available at NIPER cannot fulfill this goal directly. The construction of the twin ebulliometers with borosilicate glass sets the pressure limit at 270 kPa. The use of a metal ebulliometer [such as that used in Ambrose et al. (1990)] would enable measurements to the critical point, provided the presence of the metal surface did not catalyze sample decomposition. It is hoped to develop a metal twin-ebulliometric vaporpressure apparatus at NIPER to make experimental measurements in the high-pressure region. However, because of the period of time required to make such measurements  $(1/_4 h per temperature point)$ , it is probable that most compounds of interest to DIPPR members would decompose appreciably before the critical region.

Using a DSC technique developed at NIPER and accurate vapor pressures in the region below 270 kPa, it has been shown (Steele et al., 1988b; Knipmeyer et al., 1989; Chirico et al., 1989a; Steele, 1995) that reliable critical pressures can be derived. At NIPER, one of the objectives of the research programs funded by the U.S. Department of Energy is the extension of temperature limits of the inhouse apparatus. In the area of heat capacity measurements, this objective has been fulfilled by the development of the DSC technique [applicable to 950 K (Steele, 1995)] to measure heat capacities to within 20 K of the critical point (Steele et al., 1988b; Knipmeyer et al., 1989). Combination of the measured two-phase (liquid + vapor) heat capacities (obtained for a minimum of three cell fillings) and vapor-pressure data (measured within the inclined-piston and ebulliometric regions) has enabled estimation of the critical pressure for the substance under study. The fitting procedures used have been described (Steele et al., 1988b; Knipmeyer et al., 1989; Steele, 1995). In addition, where sample decomposition does not occur or is sufficiently slow, the DSC has been used to obtain the critical temperature and critical density by mapping the two-phase (liquid + vapor) to one-phase (fluid) conversion region.

Derivation of heat capacities along the saturation line requires an accurate knowledge of the liquid-phase density. Hence, a vibrating-tube densitometer capable of measurements from ambient to 548 K (the temperature limit of the thermostated bath used in the measurements) has been constructed at NIPER (Chirico et al., 1993). Results obtained from the densitometer measurements are included in the fitting procedures used to derive the thermophysical properties reported in this paper.

The objectives of this research program can be summarized as follows: to obtain, analyze, and purify (to a minimum purity level of 99.9 mol %) each of the compounds chosen for vapor-pressure studies; to measure the vapor pressure of each sample in the pressure region 2 to 270 kPa or from the triple point to the decomposition temperature, if the corresponding pressure is less than 270 kPa; to use the DSC method developed at NIPER to experimentally measure two-phase (liquid + vapor) heat capacities for each compound in the temperature region ambient to the critical temperature or lower decomposition point; to determine, if possible, the critical temperature and critical density for each compound; to determine liquid-phase densities along the saturation line over a wide temperature range (up to 548 K if possible) for each compound; to fit the measured vapor pressures to a Wagner form vaporpressure equation {the Wagner (1973) equation in the formulation highlighted by Ambrose and Walton (1989)

$$\ln(p/p_{\rm c}) = (1/T_{\rm r})[AY + BY^{1.5} + CY^{2.5} + DY^{5}] \qquad (1)$$

where  $T_r = T/T_c$ , and  $Y = (1 - T_r)$  was used}; to use fitting procedures to determine heat capacities along the saturation line and derive the critical pressure; to derive an acentric factor for each compound; to derive enthalpies of vaporization for each compound, using the Clapeyron equation (extrapolations are restricted to within  $\pm 50$  K of the temperature region of the experimentally determined vapor pressures); to derive the Hildebrand solubility parameter (Hildebrand and Scott, 1962) for each compound.

Figure 1 lists the structural formulas, names, and Chemical Abstracts Service registry numbers (CAS No.) for each of the compounds studied in the 1993 Project Year for DIPPR Project 821. Common synonyms are listed for each compound name.

#### **Experimental Section**

The apparatus and procedures used in obtaining the experimental data have been previously described in the literature and in various DOE reports. In addition, the earlier papers published in this journal under the DIPPR auspices (Steele et al., 1996a–c) give detailed references to the experimental techniques and fitting procedures. Therefore, in this paper no details are given, and the reader is referred to Steele et al. (1996a–c) and the earlier publications referenced therein.

**Materials.** To minimize errors due to impurities, care was taken to ensure only samples of high purity (>99.9 mol % purity) were subjected to the thermophysical prop-



#### HOCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH

N,N'-Bis-(2-hydroxyethyl)-ethylenediamine Ethanol, 2,2'-(1,2-ethanediyldiimino)bis-Ethanol, 2,2'-(ethylenediimino)di-4439-20-7

**Figure 1.** Structural formulas, common names, Chemical Abstract Service names (provided by the authors), and Chemical Abstract Services Registry Numbers (provided by the authors) for the compounds studied in this research.

erty measurements. All compounds were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. Gas—liquid chromatographic (GLC) analyses on the purchased samples gave an average purity of 98 mol % in agreement with Aldrich specifications. The compounds were purified at NIPER by repeated distillations using a spinning-band column. GLC analyses of the samples used in the measurements gave purities of at least 99.95 mol % for each compound. The high purity of each sample was confirmed subsequently by the small differences between the boiling and condensation temperatures in the ebulliometric vapor-pressure measurements listed in Table 1.

All transfers of the purified samples were done under nitrogen or helium or by vacuum distillation. The water used as a reference material in the ebulliometric vaporpressure measurements was deionized and distilled from potassium permanganate. The *n*-decane used as a reference material for the ebulliometric measurements was purified by urea complexation, two recrystallizations of the complex, decomposition of the complex with water, extraction with ether, drying with MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and distillation at 337 K and 1 kPa pressure. GLC analysis of the *n*-decane sample failed to show any impurity peaks.

**Physical Constants.** Molar values are reported in terms of the 1991 relative atomic masses (IUPAC, 1993) and the gas constant,  $R = 8.314 51 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ , adopted by CODATA (Cohen and Taylor, 1988). The platinum resistance thermometers used in these measurements were calibrated by comparison with standard platinum resistance thermometers whose constants were determined at the National Institute for Standards and Technology (NIST). All temperatures are reported in terms of ITS-90 (Goldberg and Weir, 1990; Mangum and Furukawa, 1990).

 Table 1. Summary of Vapor-Pressure Results<sup>a</sup>

I ubic II	Summary 0	r vapor r r		iico							
method	<i>T</i> /K	<i>p</i> /kPa	∆ <i>p</i> /kPa	σ/kPa	$\Delta T/\mathbf{K}$	method	<i>T</i> /K	p∕kPa	∆ <i>p</i> /kPa	σ/kPa	Δ Τ/Κ
				D	imethvl Iso	ophthalate					
ip	349.981	0.0229	-0.0002	0.0002	j	d	495.089	19.930	0.001	0.001	0.016
in	359 977	0.0220	0.0001	0.0002		d	502 880	25 051	0.000	0.001	0.013
in	369 976	0.0100	0.0001	0.0002		w	502.000 502.828 <sup>b</sup>	25 015	0.000	0.001	0.013
in	379 976	0.1593	0.0000	0.0002		¥¥ \$\$7	510 621	31 170	0.002	0.001	0.013
ip	200 079	0.1333	0.0000	0.0002		vv	510.021	20 552	0.001	0.002	0.013
ip in	389.972	0.2790	-0.0002	0.0002		w	518.440	38.333	0.000	0.002	0.012
ıp	399.966	0.4748	0.0003	0.0003		w	526.324	47.369	-0.002	0.002	0.011
ip	409.969	0.7801	0.0002	0.0003		w	534.238	57.816	-0.002	0.003	0.012
ip	419.970	1.2452	0.0005	0.0004		w	542.185	70.109	-0.003	0.003	0.012
ip	429.961	1.9327	0.0001	0.0005		w	550.174	84.512	-0.002	0.004	0.012
d	430.830	2.0057	0.0001	0.0001	0.053	w	558.209	101.303	-0.003	0.004	0.011
ip	439.964	2.9296	0.0014	0.0007		w	566.286	120.78	0.00	0.00	0.013
đ	447.830	3.9934	-0.0005	0.0002	0.039	w	574.393	143.20	0.00	0.01	0.013
d	455,451	5.3258	-0.0005	0.0003	0.033	w	582,562	169.03	0.00	0.01	0.015
d	466 780	7 9945	-0.0004	0 0004	0.022	w	590 744	198 46	0.00	0.01	0.018
d	175 242	10.658	0.0001	0.0001	0.022	117	508 076	232.02	0.00	0.01	0.025
d	475.242	12 206	0.000	0.001	0.013	vv	607 995	260.05	0.01	0.01	0.023
u J	402.043	10.000	0.000	0.001	0.017	w	007.225	209.95	-0.01	0.01	0.032
a	489.206	16.667	0.001	0.001	0.016						
					Dimethyl	Carbonate					
d	310.560	13.322	0.001	0.001	0.027	w	352.093	70.099	0.006	0.004	0.014
d	315.460	16.663	0.005	0.001	0.023	w	357.646	84.527	0.010	0.004	0.014
d	319.548	19.945	-0.002	0.001	0.020	w	363.240	101.348	0.004	0.005	0.013
ň	324 866	25 009	-0.002	0.001	0.018	w	368 847	120 77	0.00	0.01	0.014
u 177	321 8716	25 018	-0.002	0.001	0.017	¥¥ 3,87	371 102	1/3 90	-0.01	0.01	0.014
VV	324.0/4 220 957	21 177	0.001	0.002	0.017	vv	374.430 380 107	140.60	0.01	0.01	0.012
w	330.237	31.1//	0.000	0.002	0.010	w	300.197	109.01	-0.02	0.01	0.012
W	335.666	38.561	0.000	0.002	0.014	w	385.926	198.49	-0.01	0.01	0.012
w	341.113	47.374	-0.001	0.003	0.013	W	391.683	232.00	0.00	0.01	0.013
w	346.588	57.813	0.002	0.003	0.013	w	397.479	270.01	0.03	0.01	0.013
					1 3 5-Triet	hvlbenzene					
d	371 638	1 008/	0.0001	0 0001	0.050	inyibelizene	150 320	47 370	-0.003	0.002	0.011
u J	371.030	1.0010	0.0001	0.0001	0.030	••	400.020	57 900	0.003	0.002	0.011
a d	387.230	4.0010	0.0000	0.0002	0.029	w	400.034	57.809	-0.003	0.003	0.012
d	394.220	5.3408	-0.0006	0.0003	0.025	w	474.031	70.114	-0.002	0.003	0.012
d	404.498	7.9909	-0.0002	0.0004	0.019	w	481.446	84.508	-0.003	0.004	0.012
d	412.269	10.660	0.000	0.001	0.018	W	488.926	101.320	0.000	0.004	0.012
d	418.552	13.327	0.000	0.001	0.015	w	496.436	120.76	0.00	0.01	0.012
d	425.073	16.659	0.001	0.001	0.015	w	504.003	143.21	0.00	0.01	0.011
d	430.511	19.938	0.001	0.001	0.015	w	511.618	169.00	0.00	0.01	0.011
d	437.625	25.014	0.001	0.001	0.013	w	519.267	198.43	0.01	0.01	0.012
w	$437.650^{b}$	25.034	0.002	0.001	0.012	w	526,972	231.96	0.00	0.01	0.012
w	444 821	31 177	0.001	0.002	0.012	w	534 724	269.99	-0.01	0.01	0.012
w	452 050	38 562	0.000	0.002	0.012		001.721	200.00	0.01	0.01	0.010
	102.000	00.002	0.000	0.002	0.012						
					Pentaflu	orophenol					
d	323.051	1.9989	-0.0004	0.0002	0.050	w	394.265	47.361	0.001	0.003	0.037
d	335.747	4.0021	0.0003	0.0003	0.050	w	400.214	57.815	-0.004	0.003	0.041
d	341.373	5.3288	0.0010	0.0004	0.050	w	406.191	70.113	-0.007	0.004	0.047
d	349.788	7.9947	0.0002	0.0005	0.048	w	412.206	84.531	0.003	0.004	0.054
d	356.071	10.651	0.001	0.001	0.045	w	418,258	101.316	0.009	0.005	0.055
d	361 183	13 320	-0.001	0.001	0.042	w	424 354	120 78	0.00	0.01	0.060
d	366 / 88	16 658	-0.001	0.001	0.038	¥¥ 3,87	120 121	1/3 91	0.00	0.01	0.000
u A	300.400 370 QEE	10.000	0.001	0.001	0.030	VV	130.401	140.61	0.01	0.01	0.000
u L	370.000	13.301	0.000	0.001	0.037	w	400.000	100.99	0.02	0.01	0.002
u	3/0.082	23.020	-0.001	0.001	0.034	w	442.0/3	190.40	0.01	0.01	0.074
w	3/6.678	25.017	-0.001	0.002	0.036	w	449.119	231.96	-0.01	0.01	0.079
W	382.508	31.175	0.001	0.002	0.032	w	455.414	269.98	-0.04	0.01	0.082
W	388.372	38.556	-0.007	0.002	0.037						
					4- <i>tert</i> -But	vlcatechol					
Ь	438 504	2 0038	0 0001	0.0001	0 199	h	488 397	13 313	0 000	0.001	0.053
d	115 105	~.0000 9 6699	_0.0001	0.0001	0.122	и 2	105 965	16 669	0.000	0.001	0.000
u L	443.103	2.0022 1.0011	-0.0002	0.0002	0.108	u L	400.000	10.002	0.001	0.001	0.040
D I	400.401	4.0011	-0.0002	0.0002	0.097	a	501.127	19.933	-0.001	0.001	0.050
a	462.491	5.3380	0.0002	0.0003	0.088	a	508.675	25.012	-0.003	0.001	0.048
d	473.527	8.0089	-0.0001	0.0004	0.070	w	516.286	31.187	0.004	0.002	0.046
d	481.746	10.661	0.000	0.001	0.060						
					a-Methy	lstvrene					
Ь	330 902	2 0020	0 0001	0 0001	0 020	w/	404 598	38 566	0 000	0 002	0.011
и Л	345 004	2 0020	_0.0001	0.0001	0.020	VV	111 905	17 200	_0.000	0.002	0.011
u ı	343.094	5.9921	-0.0003	0.0003	0.017	w	411.200	47.300	-0.002	0.002	0.013
a	351.486	5.3305	-0.0001	0.0003	0.013	w	418.027	57.809	-0.001	0.003	0.014
d	360.967	7.9976	-0.0001	0.0005	0.013	w	424.810	70.098	-0.002	0.003	0.016
d	368.082	10.665	0.000	0.001	0.011	w	431.663	84.544	0.000	0.004	0.020
d	373.835	13.329	0.001	0.001	0.010	w	438.546	101.333	0.001	0.005	0.026
d	379.828	16.666	0.000	0.001	0.011	w	445.484	120.82	0.00	0.01	0.038
d	384.790	19.926	0.000	0.001	0.009	w	452.455	143.23	0.00	0.01	0.049
d	391.349	25.021	0.000	0.001	0.009	w	459.489 <sup>c</sup>	169.00	-0.03	0.01	0.079
w	391 355 <sup>b</sup>	25.028	0.002	0.001	0.010	w	466.566 <sup>c</sup>	198 42	-0.10	0.01	0.105
33/	397 984	31 919	0.002	0.001	0.011	**	100.000	100.16	0.10	0.01	0.100

Table 1 (C	Continued)										
method	<i>T</i> /K	p∕kPa	∆ <i>p</i> /kPa	<i>σ</i> /kPa	$\Delta T/K$	method	<i>T</i> /K	<i>p</i> /kPa	∆ <i>p</i> /kPa	$\sigma/\mathbf{kPa}$	Δ <i>T</i> /K
	N,N-Bis(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylenediamine <sup>d</sup>										
ip	399.473	0.0270	0.0000	0.0001		ip	460.150	0.9155	0.0000	0.0002	
ip	410.150	0.0550	0.0000	0.0001		ip	470.150	1.4763	0.0000	0.0003	
ip	420.142	0.1029	0.0000	0.0001		ip	475.149	1.8581	0.0000	0.0004	
ip	430.151	0.1861	0.0000	0.0001		đ	476.838	2.0057	0.0000	0.0001	0.074
ip	440.154	0.3258	0.0000	0.0001		d	492.718	3.9934	0.0001	0.0002	0.090
ip	450.151	0.5536	0.0000	0.0002		d	499.733	5.3258	-0.0001	0.0003	0.100

<sup>*a*</sup> Water (w) or decane (d) refers to which material was used in the reference ebulliometer. *T* is the condensation temperature of the sample. The pressure *p* was calculated from the condensation temperature of the reference substance.  $\Delta p$  is the difference of the value of pressure, calculated with eq 1 and the parameters listed in Table 5, from the observed value of pressure ( $\Delta p = p - p_{Wagner}$ ).  $\sigma$  is the propagated error calculated using  $\sigma(p) = 1.5 \times 10^{-4}p + 0.2$  Pa (inclined piston) or  $\sigma(p) = (0.001) \{(dp_{ref}/dT)^2 + (dp_s/dT)^2\}^{1/2}$  (ebulliometer).  $\Delta T$  is the difference between the boiling and condensation temperatures ( $T_{boil} - T_{cond}$ ) for the sample. <sup>*b*</sup> Overlap point given zero weight in Wagner-equation fit to prevent bias in the fitting. <sup>*c*</sup> Point excluded from Wagner-equation fit due to sample decomposition (see text). <sup>*d*</sup> Due to the short temperature range of the measurements and the lack of reliable critical properties the results were fit to the Antoine vapor-pressure equation (see text). Then  $\Delta p = p - p_{Antoine}$ .

Measurements of mass, time, electric resistance, and potential difference were made in terms of standards traceable to calibrations at NIST.

#### Results

**Vapor Pressures.** Measured vapor pressures for dimethyl isophthalate, dimethyl carbonate, 1,3,5-triethylbenzene, pentafluorophenol, 4-*tert*-butylcatechol,  $\alpha$ -methylstyrene, and *N*,*N*-bis(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylenediamine are listed in Table 1. The vapor pressures, the condensation temperatures, and the difference between the condensation and boiling temperatures for the samples are reported. The small differences between the boiling and condensation temperatures in the ebulliometric measurements indicated correct operation of the equipment and the high purity of the samples studied.

Inclined-piston vapor-pressure measurements for dimethyl isophthalate and N,N-bis(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylenediamine are also listed in Table 1. For these compounds the inclined-piston values extend the range of measured values down to 349 K and 399 K, respectively, close to the corresponding melting points (341.5 K and 373.15 K).

The difference between the boiling and condensation temperatures ( $\Delta T$ ) for 4-*tert*-butylcatechol increased by several tenths of a kelvin above 516 K. An attempt was made to make a measurement at 38.6 kPa (524 K), but  $\Delta T$  started at approximately 0.05 K and rapidly increased by three-tenths of a kelvin. This phenomenon is normally indicative of sample decomposition. Sample decomposition was also noted in the DSC measurements, but only above 600 K (see Table 2).

For  $\alpha$ -methylstyrene, sample decomposition (polymerization) started to occur significantly at 459.5 K (169 kPa) (see Table 1).

N,N-Bis(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylenediamine also decomposed during the ebulliometric measurements. At 509 K (8 kPa) the value of  $\Delta T$  started at approximately 0.1 K and rapidly increased by several tenths of a kelvin.

**Differential Scanning Calorimetry.** Table 2 lists the two-phase heat capacities  $C_{x,m}^{II}$  determined by DSC for dimethyl isophthalate, dimethyl carbonate, 1,3,5-triethylbenzene, pentafluorophenol, 4-*tert*-butylcatechol,  $\alpha$ -methylstyrene, and *N*,*N*-bis(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylenediamine for the given cell fillings. Heat capacities were determined at 20 K intervals with a heating rate of 0.083 K·s<sup>-1</sup> and a 120 s equilibration period between energy additions. For each compound the upper temperature bound of the measurements was set by the critical region or earlier sample decomposition.

For dimethyl isophthalate, pentafluorophenol, 4-*tert*butylcatechol,  $\alpha$ -methylstyrene, and *N*,*N*-bis(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylenediamine extensive sample decomposition precluded attainment of heat-capacity measurements above the highest listed temperatures.

For dimethyl carbonate and 1,3,5-triethylbenzene measurements in the critical region were possible. For both of those compounds an abrupt decrease in the heat capacity associated with the conversion from two phases to one phase was observed. In each case sample decomposition was greatly reduced by employing a single continuous heat at a heating rate of 0.333 K·s<sup>-1</sup>. Temperatures at which conversion to the single phase occurred were measured for each compound. Table 3 reports the density, obtained from the mass of sample and the cell volume calculated with the equation

$$V_x(T)/V_x(298.15 \text{ K}) = 1 + ay + by^2$$
 (2)

where y = (T - 298.15) K,  $a = 3.216 \times 10^{-5}$  K<sup>-1</sup>, and  $b = 5.4 \times 10^{-8}$  K<sup>-2</sup>, and the measured temperature at which conversion to a single phase was observed.

Critical temperatures and critical densities were derived graphically for both dimethyl carbonate and 1,3,5-triethylbenzene, as seen in Figure 2. Results of measurements on benzene and toluene performed as "proof-of-concept measurements" for these procedures have been reported (Chirico and Steele, 1994). The rapid heating method was used previously for critical temperature and critical density determinations for 2-aminobiphenyl (Steele et al., 1991), dibenzothiophene (Chirico et al., 1991), and carbazole, phenanthrene, and benzofuran (Steele, 1995).

For the compounds that were solid at 298.15 K, dimethyl isophthalate, 4-tert-butylcatechol, and N,N-bis(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylenediamine, by judicious choice of starting temperature, the melting endotherms during the DSC enthalpy measurements occurred in the center of a heating cycle. The measured enthalpies during those particular heating cycles contained the enthalpy of fusion plus enthalpies for raising the solid from the initial temperature to the melting point and for raising the liquid from the melting point to the final temperature. Details of the derived enthalpies of fusion for dimethyl isophthalate, 4-tert-butylcatechol, and N,N-bis(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylenediamine, at their melting points, and the corresponding values at 298.15 K are reported in Table 2. Equations, representing the heat capacities for the liquid and solid phases for each compound, which were used in the "adjustment" to 298.15 K, are also reported in Table 2. (Note: the heat-capacity equations should only be used to derive values within the temperature ranges specified in Table 2; extrapolation outside the temperature range will produce erroneous values.)

JK mor )	,						
<i>T</i> /K	$C_{ m x,m}^{ m II}/R$	$C_{\rm x,m}^{\rm II}/R$	$C_{\rm x,m}^{\rm II}/R$	<i>T</i> /K	$C_{\rm x,m}^{\rm II}/R$	$C_{\rm x,m}^{\rm II}/R$	$C_{\rm x,m}^{\rm II}/R$
magala	0.010.061	0.010.524	Dimethyl	Isophthalate	0.052.20	0.059.79	0.052.20
mass/g	0.010 001	0.019 554	0.024 241	voi cen/(cin-)	0.055 59	0.052 72	0.055 59
355.0	39.4	39.5	40.1	535.0	51.5	50.0	49.9
375.0	40.8	40.1	41.1	555.0	53.0	51.5	50.8
395.0	42.4	41.0	42.3	575.0	54.0	53.3	52.2
415.0	43.6	42.1	43.4	595.0	56.2	55.0	53.7
435.0	44.9	43.1	44.2	615.0	58.7	56.8	54.5
455.0	46.9	44.7	45.7	635.0	61.1	58.7	55.5
475.0	47.4	45.9	47.0	655.0	62.8	60.0	56.7
495.0	48.9	47.4	48.0	675.0	65.8	62.4	58.5
515.0	50.1	48.3	48.8	695.0	68.7	66.6	60.0
	cry	ystalline C <sub>sat,m</sub> /F	R = 0.1488T - 15.	85 (in temperature ra	nge 290 to 341.5	6 K)	
		liquid $C_{\text{sat,m}}/R$	= 0.0597 + 18.58	(in temperature range	e 341.5 to 420 K)		
	$\Delta_{\rm c}^{\rm i} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(341.5 \text{ K}) = ($	$(30.15 \pm 0.4)$ kJ m	ol <sup>-1</sup>	$\Delta_{\rm c}^{\rm i} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}$	(298.15  K) = (28)	$.1\pm0.6$ ) kJ mol <sup>-</sup>	-1
			1,3,5-Trie	ethylbenzene			
mass/g	0.009 377	0.014 966	0.021 050	vol cell/(cm <sup>3</sup> )	0.052 72	0.053 39	0.053 39
215.0	26.6	26.6	26.0	515.0	59 1	59 F	510
313.0	30.0	30.0 20 1	30.9	515.0	00.1 56 1	32.3 54.9	01.8 52.1
255.0	30.0	30.1	30.4 20.7	555.0	50.1	56.0	55.1
333.0	39.9	39.3	39.7	555.0	57.9	50.0	54.0
375.0	41.5	40.0	41.3	575.0	00.0	57.5	30.3 57.0
395.0	43.3	42.3	42.3	595.0	02.9	01.0	57.8
415.0	44.8	44.1	44.3	615.0	65.Z	61.Z	59.4
435.0	45.7	45.8	45.8	635.0	68.7	63.4	61.8
455.0	4/./	47.3	47.5	655.0	/2.5	67.0	63.2
475.0	49.8	48.9	49.0	675.0	68.9	69.9	53.1
495.0	51.2	50.5	50.3				
			Dimethy	l Carbonate			
mass/g	0.011 118	0.015 826	0.021 424	vol cell/(cm <sup>3</sup> )	0.052 72	0.053 39	0.053 39
215.0	20.0	20.2	20.1	455.0	20.0	96 5	95.9
315.0	20.9	20.3	20.1	435.0	20.9	20.3	20.0
335.0	21.2	20.9	20.7	475.0	30.8	27.0	26.3
355.0	22.1	21.7	21.3	495.0	33.Z	29.6	27.5
375.0	23.8	22.5	22.1	515.0	35.5	31.1	28.9
395.0	24.9	23.5	22.9	535.0	39.1	33.8	30.8
415.0	25.9	24.5	23.6	$555.0^{D}$	25.3	33.1	29.6
435.0	21.2	25.7	24.5				
			Pentafl	uorophenol			
mass/g	0.012 580	0.018 792	0.026 454	vol cell/(cm <sup>3</sup> )	0.054 48	0.053 39	0.053 39
330.0	22 7	33.6	33.6	470.0	40.1	37 0	36 7
250.0	22.0	22.6	22.5	470.0	40.1	20.2	30.7
270.0	24.2	22.0	227	510.0	42.0	10 5	37.7
200.0	24.2	24.2	33.7	520.0	44.0	40.3	20.6
410.0	35.7	34.5	34.0	550.0	40.5	42.0	40 G
410.0	36.0	34.9	34.4	570.0	49.0	45.0	40.0
450.0	38.4	36.8	35.0	570.0	52.1	45.7	41.0
430.0	30.4	30.8	33.9				
			4- <i>tert</i> -Bu	tylcatechol <sup>c</sup>			
350.0	45.3	490.0	51.7	430.0	48.8	570.0	54.5
370.0	46.3	510.0	52.4	450.0	49.8	590.0	55.6
390.0	47.5	530.0	53.2	470.0	50.9	610.0 <sup><i>b</i></sup>	59.5
410.0	48.4	550.0	53.7				
		crystalline C <sub>sat n</sub>	$\sqrt{R} = 0.020 T + 36$	.1 (in temperature ra	nge 290 to 327 K	3	
		liquid $C_{\rm sat.m}/R$	= 0.0526T + 26.8	7 (in temperature ran	ge 327 to 410 K)	,	
	$\Delta_{\rm c}^{\rm l} H_{\rm m}^{\rm o}(327 \text{ K}) = 0$	$(4.5 \pm 0.2) \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$	-1	$\Delta_{c}^{l}H_{n}^{n}$	(298.15  K) = (4.)	$3 \pm 0.4$ ) kJ mol <sup>-</sup>	1
	(, ,	· · · ·	o Matl			,	
magala	0 000 267	0 017 501	0.021 462	ivil coll/(cm <sup>3</sup> )	0.054.49	0.052.20	0.054.79
mass/g	0.009 307	0.017 391	0.021 405	voi cen/(ciii*)	0.034 40	0.033 39	0.034 78
315.0	25.6	25.6	25.6	455.0	34.3	33.2	33.0
335.0	26.7	26.6	26.6	475.0	35.9	34.3	34.0
355.0	27.8	27.6	27.6	495.0	37.4	35.4	35.1
375.0	28.9	28.7	28.7	515.0	39.0	36.5	36.0
395.0	30.2	29.8	29.7	535.0	40.8	37.5	37.0
415.0	31.5	30.9	30.8	555.0	42.6	38.6	37.9
435.0	32.9	32.0	31.9	575.0	44.9	39.7	38.8
		λI	N-Bis(2-bydrovy	thyl)ethylenediamin	ed		
		1 <b>v</b> ,		ethyljethylenethannin	e		
			Crys	stalline			
315.0	26.9	335.0	28.9				
			Т	iauid			
395.0	50.7	455.0	55.1	435.0	53.7		
415.0	52.1	475.0	56.3				
		wotalling C	D = 0.100 T 4.0	(in tone and	an 900 to 970 0 1	V)	
	C	iystanine C <sub>sat,m</sub>	$\pi = 0.1001 - 4.6$	o (in temperature rar	ige 290 to 3/3.2	n)	
	1	IIquiu $C_{\text{sat,m}}/R$	-0.0/11 + 22.70	un temperature rang	e 373.2 to 485 K)	l i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	

Table 2. Two-Phase (Solid + Vapor) or (Liquid + Vapor) Heat Capacities and Enthalpies of Fusion (R = 8.31451 $J \cdot K^{-1} \cdot mol^{-1}$ )<sup>a</sup>

 $\Delta_c^l H_m^{\rm e}(373.2 \text{ K}) = (49.7 \pm 0.5) \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \qquad \Delta_c^l H_m^{\rm e}(298.15 \text{ K}) = (38.7 \pm 0.8) \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ 

<sup>*a*</sup> Volume of cell (vol cell) is given for 298.15 K. <sup>*b*</sup> Values not used in fitting procedures since above either the critical or decomposition temperature. <sup>*c*</sup> Values were obtained with 0.019 506 g sample in a cell of volume 0.054 48 cm<sup>3</sup>. Compound decomposed before difference between  $C_{x,m}^{I}/R$  and  $C_{sat,m}/R$  became significant. <sup>*d*</sup> Values were obtained with 0.022 505 g sample in a cell of volume 0.054 48 cm<sup>3</sup>. Compound decomposed before difference between  $C_{x,m}^{I}/R$  and  $C_{sat,m}/R$  became significant. <sup>*d*</sup> Values were obtained with 0.022 505 g sample in a cell of volume 0.054 48 cm<sup>3</sup>.



 Table 4. Measured Liquid-Phase Densities along the

 Saturation Line<sup>a</sup>

**Figure 2.** Vapor-liquid coexistence in the region of the critical point; A = dimethyl carbonate, B = 1,3,5-triethylbenzene.  $\bigcirc$  denotes the critical temperatures and critical densities derived in the fitting procedures. The curves are drawn as an aid to the eye and do not represent any theoretically valid equation. The crosses span the range of uncertainty.

**Densitometry.** Measured densities for dimethyl isophthalate, dimethyl carbonate, 1,3,5-triethylbenzene, pentafluorophenol, 4-*tert*-butylcatechol,  $\alpha$ -methylstyrene, and *N*,*N*-bis(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylenediamine in the liquid phase along the saturation line are listed in Table 4. The temperatures are precise to ±0.005 K. As derived (Chirico and Steele, 1994), the expected accuracy of the densities is ±0.1 kg·m<sup>-3</sup>.

*Fitting Procedures.* With the exception of *N*,*N*-(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylenediamine and 4-*tert*-butylcatechol, in general, the same fitting procedures were used for all the remaining compounds of this study. Details of the fitting procedures used for the diamine and the catechol are given at the end of this section. The fitting parameters were derived by a simultaneous nonlinear least-squares fit of the vapor pressures listed in Table 1 and the two-phase heat capacities  $C_{x,m}^{II}$  given in Table 2. The Wagner equation (eq 1) was fitted to the measured vapor pressures (Table 7). The vapor-pressure fitting procedure including

<i>T</i> /ł	۲.	ρ/(kg·m <sup>-3</sup> )	$100( ho- ho_{ m calc})/ ho$
		Dimethyl Isophthal	ate <sup>b</sup>
353.1	29	1148.4	-0.05
373.1	23	1130.2	0.06
398.1	18	1105.4	0.06
423.1	13	1078.8	-0.07
448.1	11	1053.0	-0.08
473.1	11	1027.2	-0.01
498.1	11	1000.9	0.06
523.1	09	973.1	0.07
548.1	10	942.8	-0.06
		Dimethyl Carbona	ite <sup>c</sup>
308.1	41	1049.6	0.00
323.1	37	1029.6	0.01
348.1	30	995.1	0.01
373.1	23	959.3	-0.02
393.1	19	930.2	0.01
		1,3,5-Triethylbenze	ene <sup>d</sup>
323.1	37	839.1	-0.05
348.1	30	819.5	0.02
373.1	23	799.4	0.09
398.1	19	778.3	0.07
423.1	15	755.2	-0.13
448.1	11	733.7	-0.06
473.1	11	711.8	0.08
		Pentafluoropheno	$\mathbf{pl}^{e}$
323.1	36	1657.6	-0.07
348.1	30	1611.0	0.13
373.1	23	1557.5	0.00
398.1	18	1502.8	-0.08
423.1	13	1448.0	-0.01
448.1	18	1389.8	0.03
		4-tert-Butylcatech	ol <sup>f</sup>
348.1	30	1031.7	-0.04
373.1	22	1013.1	0.10
398.1	22	990.4	-0.11
423.1	13	970.4	0.03
		α-Methylstyrene	g
323.1	38	886.6	-0.01
348.1	30	863.9	0.03
373.1	25	840.0	-0.06
398.1	19	817.5	0.04
423.1	15	793.4	-0.01
	N,N'-Bis	(2-hydroxyethyl)ethy	lenediamine <sup>h</sup>
398.1	20	1014.6	
413.1	15	994.7	
448.1	15	974.2	

 $^a\rho_{\rm calc}$  values were calculated using eq 8 and the parameters listed below.  $^b\rho_{\rm calc}=342.0+925.6(1-77766)^{1/3}-429.8(1-77766)^{2/3}+627.7(1-77766).\ ^c\rho_{\rm calc}=358.0+975.8(1-7757)^{1/3}-715.1(1-7757)^{2/3}+813.9(1-7757)^{...}\ ^d\rho_{\rm calc}=260.0+740.0(1-77679)^{1/3}-478.9(1-77679)^{2/3}+561.3(1-77679).\ ^e\rho_{\rm calc}=533.0+1181.5(1-77605)^{1/3}-191.0(1-77605)^{2/3}+697.0(1-77605).\ ^f\rho_{\rm calc}=297.0+498.1(1-77775)^{1/3}+567.2(1-77775)^{2/3}-98.6(1-77645)^{2/3}+1058.2(1-77645).\ ^h$  Due to short temperature range no fit was attempted.

the minimization equation and the relative weightings is detailed in Steele (1995). In general, the number of fitting parameters differed depending on whether or not a critical temperature could be determined experimentally. For 1,3,5-triethylbenzene and dimethyl carbonate, critical temperatures were determined from the DSC measurements and, hence, only the critical pressure  $p_c$  was included in the variables. For the remaining compounds, extensive sample decomposition precluded critical temperature measurements and, therefore, both  $T_c$  and  $p_c$  were included as variables.

For fitting the two-phase heat capacities obtained in a cell of volume  $V_x$ , the experimental  $C_{x,m}^{II}$  values (Table 8) were converted to  $C_{V,m}^{II}$  by means of eq 2 for the cell

Table 5.	<b>Parameters</b>	for Eqs	1 and 4, Critica	l Constants,	and Acentric	<b>Factors</b> <sup>a</sup>
----------	-------------------	---------	------------------	--------------	--------------	-----------------------------

	1	,	,				
	Dimethyl I	sophthalate	е		Dimethyl C	arbonate	
Α	-10.178 84	$b_0$	$-0.603\ 60$	Α	-8.242 79	$b_0$	$-0.303\ 23$
В	4.626 69	$b_1$	$-0.562\ 12$	В	3.255 66	$b_1$	-0.811 19
С	-6.969~76	$b_2$	0.311 60	С	$-4.282\ 49$	$b_2$	1.711 16
D	$-2.776\ 45$	$b_3$	$-0.706\ 49$	D	$-2.119\ 40$	$b_3$	$-2.372\ 67$
$T_{\rm c}$	766 K	$p_{\rm c}$	2650 kPa	$T_{\rm c}$	557 K	$p_{\rm c}$	4800 kPa
$ ho_{ m c}$	342 kg∙m <sup>-3</sup>	ω	0.6402	$ ho_{ m c}$	358 kg∙m <sup>-3</sup>	ω	0.3365
	1,3,5-Triet	hylbenzene	<u>)</u>		Pentafluor	ophenol	
Α	-9.35738	$b_0$	$-0.654\ 16$	Α	-9.53481	$b_0$	$-0.355\ 45$
В	3.788 30	$b_1$	$-0.918\ 44$	В	4.609 88	$b_1$	-1.657~36
С	-5.45184	$b_2$	1.561 38	С	$-6.431\ 14$	$b_2$	3.933 71
D	$-2.913\ 51$	$b_3$	-1.76357	D	$-4.595\ 48$	$b_3$	$-5.820\ 98$
$T_{\rm c}$	679 K	$p_{\rm c}$	2435 kPa	$T_{\rm c}$	605 K	$p_{\rm c}$	3800 kPa
$ ho_{\rm c}$	260 kg∙m <sup>-3</sup>	ω	0.5266	$ ho_{ m c}$	533 kg∙m <sup>-3</sup>	ω	0.5083
	4-tert-But	ylcatechol			α-Methyl	styrene	
Α	$-10.869\ 60$	5		Α	-9.147 56	$b_0$	-0.39692
В	3.247 82			В	4.487 77	$b_1$	-1.043~35
С	-1.76364			С	-5.07859	$b_2$	1.988 93
D	-15.95372			D	$-2.474\ 12$	$b_3$	$-1.980\ 14$
$T_{\rm c}$	775 K	$p_{\rm c}$	3700 kPa	$T_{\rm c}$	645 K	$p_{\rm c}$	3550 kPa
$ ho_{ m c}$	297 kg∙m <sup>-3</sup>	ω	0.7700	$ ho_{ m c}$	288 kg∙m <sup>-3</sup>	ω	0.4041
			N,N'-Bis(2-Hydroxyet	hyl)ethylenediam	line <sup>b</sup>		
			A	8.239 2			
			В	-3218.9			
			С	-71.280			

<sup>*a*</sup> The parameters listed in this Table are those derived from the fitting procedures. <sup>*b*</sup> Antoine equation parameters listed here (see text and eq 5)

expansion and the vapor-pressure fit for  $(\partial p/\partial T)_{sat}$ 

$$C_{\mathrm{V,m}}^{\mathrm{II}} = C_{\mathrm{x,m}}^{\mathrm{II}} - T/n \left\{ \left( \frac{\partial V_x}{\partial T} \right)_x \left( \frac{\partial p}{\partial T} \right)_{\mathrm{sat}} \right\}$$
(3)

The values of  $C_{V,m}^{II}$  were used to derive functions for  $(\partial^2 p / \partial T^2)_{sat}$  and  $(\partial^2 \mu / \partial T^2)_{sat}$  [see eq 2 of Steele (1995)]. The functional form chosen for variation of the second derivative of the chemical potential with temperature was

$$(\partial^2 \mu / \partial T^2)_{\text{sat}} / (\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{K}^{-2} \cdot \mathbf{mol}^{-1}) = \sum_{i=0}^3 b_i (1 - T_i T_c)^i$$
 (4)

Details of the weighting procedures, etc., are given by Steele (1995).

Exceptions to the above procedures were made for both 4-*tert*-butylcatechol and *N*,*N*-(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylenediamine. Both compounds decomposed well removed from the critical region, measured vapor pressures covered a relatively narrow range of temperature (Table 1), and the measured  $C_{x,m}^{II}$  values (Table 2) were independent of cell filling.

For 4-*tert*-butylcatechol, an estimated critical temperature and pressure (775 K and 3700 kPa, respectively) were used in the fitting procedures. For *N*,*N*-(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylenediamine, all estimating procedures gave unrealistic values for the critical parameters. Hence, for that compound, the Antoine equation in the form

$$\log(p/p_{\rm ref}) = A + B/\{(T/K) + C\}$$
(5)

with  $p_{ref} = 1$  kPa, was fit to the available vapor-pressure data (Table 1).

**Derived Results.** Table 5 listed the parameters derived using the procedures outlined above and in Steele et al. (1996b). Details of the fits using to the vapor-pressure results are given in Table 1 (column 4 labeled  $\Delta p = p - p_{\text{Wagner}}$  with  $p_{\text{Wagner}}$  calculated using the parameters listed in Table 5).

Values of  $C_{x,m}^{II}(\rho = \rho_{sat})$  were derived from the parameters listed in Table 5, and corresponding  $C_{sat,m}$  values were obtained using eq 6 of Steele et al. (1995). The results for

 $C_{\text{sat,m}}/R$  are reported in Table 6. The estimated uncertainty in these values is 1%.

Enthalpies of vaporization  $\Delta_1^g H_m$  were derived from the Wagner- or Antoine-equation fits (Table 5) using the Clapeyron equation

$$dp/dT = \Delta_l^g H_m/(T\Delta_l^g V_m)$$
(6)

where  $\Delta_i^g V_m$  is the increase in molar volume from the liquid to the real vapor. In earlier work in this project the liquid-phase density estimates were made with the extended corresponding-states equation (Riedel, 1954) as formulated by Hales and Townsend (1972)

$$(\rho/\rho_c) = 1.0 + 0.85 Y + (1.6916 + 0.9846\omega) Y^{1/3}$$
(7)

with  $Y = (1 - T/T_c)$ ,  $\rho_c =$  critical density, and  $\omega =$  acentric factor. The acentric factor,  $\omega$ , is defined as  $[-\log(p/p_c) - 1]$ , where *p* is the vapor pressure at  $T/T_c = 0.7$  and  $p_c$  is the critical pressure. However, often eq 7 was not a good representation of the measured densities. A power series of the type

$$\rho = \rho_{\rm c} + A(1 - T_{\rm r})^{1/3} + B(1 - T_{\rm r})^{2/3} + C(1 - T_{\rm r}) + \dots$$
(8)

has proved to be a better representation of the measured densities for a wide range of compound types from alkanes through compounds containing highly polar groups (Steele, 1996). Estimates of the liquid-phase volumes were made using eq 8 and the parameters given in Table 5. Vaporphase volumes were calculated with the virial equation of state truncated at the third virial coefficient. Second virial coefficients were estimated with the corresponding-states equation (Pitzer and Curl, 1957), and third virial coefficients were estimated with the corresponding-states method (Orbey and Vera, 1983). This formulation for third virial coefficients was applied successfully in analyses of the thermodynamic properties of benzene and toluene (Chirico and Steele, 1994). Third virial coefficients are required for accurate calculation of the gas volume for pressures greater than 1 bar. Uncertainties in the virial coefficients are assumed to be 10%. Derived enthalpies of

$T/\mathbf{K}$	$C_{\rm sat,m}/R$	<i>T</i> /K	$C_{\rm sat,m}/R$	wagner or	Antonie and Ciape	eyron Equa	
	Dimethyl Is	sophthalate		<i>T</i> /K	$\Delta_{ m l}^{ m g} H_{ m m}/ m kJ m \cdot mol^{-1}$	<i>T</i> /K	$\Delta_{ m l}^{ m g} H_{ m m}/ m kJ\cdot m mol^-$
360.0	39.8	560.0	50.8		Dimethyl Is	ophthalate	
380.0	41.0	580.0	51.9	$298.15^{b}$	$77.17\pm0.8\mathring{3}$	480.0	$61.27\pm0.45$
400.0	42.2	600.0	52.9	$300.0^{b}$	$77.00\pm0.83$	500.0	$59.52\pm0.47$
420.0	43.4	620.0	54.0	$320.0^{b}$	$75.15\pm0.76$	520.0	$57.72 \pm 0.50$
440.0	44.5	640.0	55.1	$340.0^{b}$	$73.33\pm0.72$	540.0	$55.83 \pm 0.57$
460.0	45.6	660.0	56.4	360.0	$71.55\pm0.67$	560.0	$53.86 \pm 0.68$
180.0	46.7	680.0	57.8	380.0	$69.79 \pm 0.62$	580.0	$51.78 \pm 0.85$
500.0	47.7	700.0	59.7	400.0	$68.06 \pm 0.57$	600.0	$49.6 \pm 1.1$
520.0	48.8	720.0	62.5	420.0	$66.36 \pm 0.53$	620.0 <sup>b</sup>	$47.2 \pm 1.3$
640.0	49.8	740.0	68.0	440.0	$64.67 \pm 0.50$	640.0 <sup>b</sup>	$44.7 \pm 1.6$
	Dimethyl	Carbonate		460.0	$62.98 \pm 0.47$	660.0 <sup>b</sup>	$42.0\pm1.9$
300.0	19.8	440.0	23.6		Dimethyl (	Carbonate	
320.0	20.2	460.0	24.4	280.0 <sup>b</sup>	$38.77\pm0.25$	380.0	$32.41\pm0.37$
340.0	20.6	480.0	25.1	$298.15^{b}$	$37.70\pm0.23$	400.0	$30.91\pm0.50$
360.0	21.2	500.0	26.1	300.0 <sup>b</sup>	$37.59 \pm 0.23$	$420.0^{b}$	$29.28 \pm 0.65$
380.0	21.7	520.0	27.8	320.0	$36.38 \pm 0.23$	$440.0^{b}$	$\textbf{27.54} \pm \textbf{0.81}$
400.0	22.3	540.0	32.6	340.0	$35.13 \pm 0.25$	460.0 <sup>b</sup>	$25.7\pm1.0$
420.0	23.0			360.0	$33.82 \pm 0.28$		
	1,3,5-Triet	hylbenzene			1,3,5-Trieth	ylbenzene	
300.0	35.6	500.0	50.1	$298.15^{b}$	$59.22\pm0.26$	<b>440.0</b>	$48.43\pm0.18$

 $59.07 \pm 0.26$ 

 $57.49 \pm 0.23$ 

 $55.96 \pm 0.22$ 

 $54.45 \pm 0.20$ 

 $52.97 \pm 0.19$ 

 $51.48 \pm 0.17$ 

 $49.98 \pm 0.17$ 

 $52.21 \pm 0.43$ 

 $52.02 \pm 0.43$ 

 $50.04 \pm 0.38$ 

 $48.12\pm0.35$ 

 $46.25 \pm 0.33$ 

 $44.38\pm0.32$ 

 $96.5\pm2.8$ 

 $75.74 \pm 0.70$ 

 $72.67 \pm 0.62$ 

 $\mathbf{69.92} \pm \mathbf{0.57}$ 

 $67.46 \pm 0.52$ 

 $65.24 \pm 0.48$ 

 $49.20\pm0.37$ 

 $48.64\pm0.35$ 

 $48.52\pm0.35$ 

 $47.19\pm0.32$ 

 $45.89 \pm 0.30$ 

 $44.60\pm0.28$ 

 $43.29\pm0.28$ 

 $\Delta_l^g H_m/kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$ 

 $\mathbf{48.43} \pm \mathbf{0.18}$ 

 $46.82\pm0.22$ 

 $45.11 \pm 0.26$ 

 $43.31\pm0.32$ 

 $41.37 \pm 0.42$ 

 $39.29 \pm 0.52$ 

 $37.05 \pm 0.63$ 

 $34.60\pm0.76$ 

 $42.51\pm0.35$ 

 $40.61 \pm 0.43$ 

 $\mathbf{38.65} \pm \mathbf{0.55}$ 

 $36.61\pm0.70$ 

 $\mathbf{34.48} \pm \mathbf{0.90}$ 

 $63.26 \pm 0.47$ 

 $61.45 \pm 0.48$ 

 $59.77 \pm 0.53$ 

 $58.17 \pm 0.62$ 

 $56.59 \pm 0.75$ 

 $41.97 \pm 0.30$ 

 $40.61\pm0.33$ 

 $\mathbf{39.19} \pm \mathbf{0.42}$ 

 $37.71 \pm 0.53$ 

 $36.14\pm0.67$ 

 $34.50\pm0.83$ 

 $\textbf{32.8} \pm \textbf{1.0}$ 

 $32.2\pm1.1$ 

460.0

480.0

500.0

520.0

540.0<sup>b</sup>

560.0<sup>b</sup>

580.0<sup>b</sup>

400.0

420.0

440.0<sup>b</sup>

 $460.0^{b}$ 

480.0<sup>b</sup>

500.0<sup>b</sup>

500.0

 $520.0^{b}$ 

540.0<sup>b</sup>

560.0<sup>b</sup>

580.0<sup>b</sup>

400.0

420.0

440.0

460.0

480.0<sup>b</sup>

500.0<sup>b</sup>

 $520.0^{b}$ 

Pentafluorophenol

4-tert-Butylcatechol

α-Methylstyrene

		loopininalate		
360.0	39.8	560.0	50.8	
380.0	41.0	580.0	51.9	298 15 <sup>b</sup>
400.0	42.2	600.0	52.9	300.10
420.0	43.4	620.0	54.0	320 0 <sup>b</sup>
440.0	44.5	640.0	55.1	340 0 <sup>b</sup>
460.0	45.6	660.0	56.4	360.0
480.0	46 7	680.0	57.8	380.0
500.0	47 7	700.0	59 7	400.0
520.0	48.8	720.0	62.5	400.0
540.0	49.8	740.0	68.0	420.0
0 10.0	10.0		00.0	440.0
	Dimethyl	Carbonate		400.0
300.0	19.8	440.0	23.6	
320.0	20.2	460.0	24.4	280.0 <sup>b</sup>
340.0	20.6	480.0	25.1	298.15 <sup>b</sup>
360.0	21.2	500.0	26.1	$300.0^{b}$
380.0	21.7	520.0	27.8	320.0
400.0	22.3	540.0	32.6	340.0
420.0	23.0			360.0
	1 3 5-Trie	thylbenzene		
300.0	35.6	500.0	50.1	<b>208</b> 15 <sup>b</sup>
320.0	37.1	520.0	51.5	200.15
340.0	38.6	540.0	52.9	320.0
360.0	40.0	560.0	54 3	320.0 340.0b
380.0	41 5	580.0	55.8	260.0b
400.0	42.9	600.0	57 3	300.0
420.0	44 4	620.0	59.2	380.0 400.0
440.0	45.8	640.0	62 1	400.0
460.0	47.3	660.0	68.6	420.0
480.0	48 7	000.0	00.0	
100.0				298.15 <sup>b</sup>
	Pentaflu	orophenol		300.0 <sup>b</sup>
320.0	33.6	460.0	34.7	$320.0^{b}$
340.0	33.4	480.0	35.3	340.0
360.0	33.4	500.0	35.8	360.0
380.0	33.4	520.0	36.5	380.0
400.0	33.6	540.0	37.2	
420.0	33.9	560.0	38.5	208 15b
440.0	34.3	580.0	42.6	298.15 400.0b
	a-Meth	vlstvrene		400.0
300.0	24 9	480.0	33.6	420.0
320.0	25.8	500.0	34.5	440.0
340.0	26.8	520.0	35.4	400.0
360.0	27.8	540.0	36.2	400.0
380.0	28.8	560.0	37 0	
400.0	20.0	580.0	38.1	290.0 <sup>b</sup>
120.0	20.0	600.0	30.1	298.15 <sup>b</sup>
420.0	31.8	620.0	13 6	$300.0^{b}$
460.0	39.7	020.0	10.0	$320.0^{b}$
100.0	06.1			340.0
• ••		<b>TII 7 T</b>		360.0

400.0 520.04  $91.2 \pm 0.2$ 420.0  $\mathbf{89.4} \pm \mathbf{0.2}$ 

380.0

8

vaporization are reported in Table 7. For p > 1 bar the uncertainties in the virial coefficients are the dominant contributions to the uncertainties in the derived enthalpies of vaporization.

The absence of critical properties precluded using the methodology outlined above for N,N-bis(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylenediamine. For that compound, liquid-phase volumes were derived using the densities listed in Table 4 and an average coefficient of cubic expansion obtained from the measurements. Second virial coefficients were estimated with the correlation of Scott et al. (1950). Since enthalpies of vaporization were not derived for pressures greater than 1 bar, third virials were neglected. Uncertainties in both the liquid-phase molar volumes and virial coefficients were assumed to be 20% of the respective numerical values.

Solubility parameters are listed in Table 8.

#### Discussion

*General Comments.* In this section emphasis is given to comparison of the measured properties of this research with experimentally determined values reported in the literature. Only passing reference is made to correlated values available in the literature, mostly those abstracted in DIPPR Project 801 (Daubert and Danner, 1993).

N,N-Bis(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylenediamine 298.15<sup>c</sup>  $106.4\pm 6.4$ 440.0  $\mathbf{87.7} \pm \mathbf{0.2}$ 300.0<sup>c</sup>  $106.0\pm6.4$ 460.0  $\mathbf{86.2}\pm0.2$ 360.0<sup>c</sup>  $95.8\pm0.3$ 480.0  $\mathbf{84.8} \pm \mathbf{0.2}$ 380.0<sup>c</sup>  $\mathbf{93.4} \pm \mathbf{0.3}$ 500.0  $83.5 \pm 0.2$  $82.2 \pm 0.3$ <sup>a</sup> Uncertainty intervals are twice the standard deviation. <sup>b</sup> The value at this temperature was calculated with extrapolated vapor pressures derived from the fitted Wagner equation. <sup>c</sup> The value at this temperature was calculated with extrapolated vapor pressures derived from the fitted Antoine equation. The experimentally determined values for the critical densities (obtained via the DSC method) have a relatively large uncertainty ( $\pm 2.5\%$ ). For both 1,3,5-triethylbenzene and dimethyl carbonate the experimentally determined value range included the value derived in fitting the density measurements to extended corresponding states using eq Comparison with Literature Values. Dimethyl Isophthalate. With the exception of the results obtained by Kraus et al. (1962), vapor-pressure measurements for

	-	
$ ho/{ m mol}{\cdot}{ m m}^{-3}$	$\Delta^{\mathrm{g}}_{\mathrm{l}} U_{\mathrm{m}} / \mathrm{J} \boldsymbol{\cdot} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$	$\delta/(J \cdot m^{-3})^{1/2}$
5976	Dimethyl Isophthalate 70 328	$2.05  imes 10^4$
11795	Dimethyl Carbonate 35 221	$2.04  imes 10^4$
5276	1,3,5-Triethylbenzene 56 741	$1.73  imes 10^4$
9128	Pentafluorophenol 48 436	$2.10  imes 10^4$
6251	4- <i>tert</i> -Butylcatechol 87 941	$2.35  imes 10^4$
7700	α-Methylstyrene 44 161	$1.89  imes 10^4$
<i>N,N</i> -B	is(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylened	liamine
7169	91 070	$2.56 \times 10^4$

 Table 8. Solubility Parameters<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup> Densities (listed to an extra significant figure to prevent round off errors) were estimated by extrapolation of the third order fits listed in Table 4. <sup>*b*</sup>  $\Delta_1^{\rm g} U_{\rm m} = (\Delta_1^{\rm g} H_{\rm m} - RT)$  obtained using the value for the enthalpy of vaporization at 298.15 K (or at the melting point if above 298.15 K) listed in Table 7. <sup>*c*</sup> Melting point dimethyl isophthalate 341.5 K, pentafluorophenol 310.56 K, 4-*tert*-butyl-catechol 328.2 K, and *N*,*N*-bis(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylenediamine 373.2 K.



**Figure 3.** Comparison of literature vapor pressures for dimethyl isophthalate with those obtained using the Wagner equation and the parameters listed in Table 5. The double-headed arrow represents the range of the measurements of this research and reported in Table 1. The solid line represents deviations of the DIPPR Project 801 correlation (Daubert and Danner, 1993). Key: ( $\Box$ ) Wegscheider et al. (1911); ( $\odot$ ) Kraus et al. (1962); ( $\bullet$ ) Kucharenko and Grigor'eva (1970); ( $\bigtriangledown$ ) DuPont (1970); ( $\times$ ) Bodnaryuk et al. (1972); ( $\triangle$ ) Murogova et al. (1974).

dimethyl isophthalate reported in the literature are restricted to single-point values obtained during preparation and purification of samples of the compound (Wegscheider et al., 1911; Du Pont, 1970; Kucharenko and Grigor'eva, 1970; Bodnaryuk et al., 1972; Murogova et al., 1974). All the available vapor-pressure values are compared in Figure 3. Also included in Figure 3 is a comparison of the DIPPR Project 801 (Daubert and Danner, 1993) correlated data with that obtained using the Wagner constants reported in this research (Table 5). It is apparent that the measurements of Kraus et al. (1962) and the normal boiling point of Kucharenko and Grigor'eva (1970) were used to derive the DIPPR Project 801 (Daubert and Danner, 1993) correlation for the vapor pressure of dimethyl isophthalate.

A search of the literature through January 1997 failed to produce any further thermophysical property measurements for dimethyl isophthalate. Except for a measured energy of combustion (Colomina et al., 1972), all other values listed by the DIPPR 801 Project for this compound are the result of correlations.



**Figure 4.** Comparison of literature vapor pressures for dimethyl carbonate with values obtained using the Wagner equation and the parameters listed in Table 5. The double-headed arrow represents the range of the measurements of this research and reported in Table 1. Key: ( $\bullet$ ) Vogel (1948); ( $\diamond$ ) Wright (1960); ( $\triangle$ ) Cross et al. (1976); ( $\times$ ) Jiang and Zhang (1987).

Attempts to obtain a critical temperature value for dimethyl isophthalate using the DSC were thwarted by extensive compound decomposition at the same temperature, 715 K, for each sample filling. In the 1992 Project Report (Steele et al., 1996a) a "Rule-Of-Thumb", which applies *only* in cases where thermal decomposition is initiated by bond scission and radical formation, was derived to estimate bond dissociation energies. The rule was

$$BDE = 64.7 \cdot R \cdot T$$

where BDE is bond dissociation energy in the same units as the gas constant R and T is the temperature of the onset of thermal decomposition. Applying that rule in this case leads to a bond dissociation energy of (380 ± 5) kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup> in dimethyl isophthalate. Kerr (1966), revising the earlier values of Gray and Williams (1959), lists PhCO–OR' bond dissociation energies of 377 kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup> and 368 kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup> for methyl and ethyl benzoates, respectively.

**Dimethyl Carbonate.** A critical temperature of (557  $\pm$  1) K and a critical density of (358  $\pm$  10) kg·m<sup>-3</sup> were obtained from the fitting procedures used in this research for dimethyl carbonate. No other experimentally determined values for these properties were obtained in a search of the literature through January 1997.

Figure 4 compares values for the vapor pressure of dimethyl carbonate found in a literature search (Vogel, 1948; Wright, 1960; Cross et al., 1976; Jiang and Zhang, 1987) with values calculated using the Wagner equation and the parameters listed in Table 5.

Figure 5 compares values for the density of dimethyl carbonate found in a literature search (Kogerman and Kranig, 1927; Vogel, 1948; Thiebaut et al., 1976) with values calculated using eq 8 and the parameters listed in the footnotes to Table 4. [Only densities over a range of temperature were abstracted from the literature. Numerous single-point values (usually at 293 K) were also found in the search but excluded from consideration.] Agreement between the densities measured in this research and by both Kogerman and Kranig (1927) and Thiebaut et al. (1976) is excellent.

**1,3,5-Triethylbenzene.** A critical temperature of (679  $\pm$  1) K and a critical density of (260  $\pm$  10) kg·m<sup>-3</sup> were obtained from the fitting procedures used in this research for 1,3,5-triethylbenzene. No experimentally determined values for these properties were found in a search of the literature. In fact, only one substantive reference was found to thermophysical property measurements on a sample of high purity. Gibbons et al. (1945) reported a



**Figure 5.** Comparison of literature values for the saturation liquid-phase density measurements for dimethyl carbonate with those obtained using eq 8 and the parameters listed in the footnotes to Table 4. The double-headed arrow represents the range of the measurements of this research and reported in Table 4. Key: ( $\triangle$ ) Kogerman and Kranig (1927); (O) Vogel (1948); ( $\times$ ) Thiebaut et al. (1976).

normal boiling point of 489.07 K (489.03 K on ITS-90) and a density of 862.1 kg·m<sup>-3</sup> at 293 K for 1,3,5-triethylbenzene. Values obtained by interpolation and extrapolation of the measurements made in this research are 488.93 K and 860 kg·m<sup>-3</sup> respectively.

Pentafluorophenol. A comprehensive range of thermochemical and thermophysical property measurements on pentafluorophenol was conducted at the National Physical Laboratory (NPL), Teddington, Middlesex, England, and published between 1968 and 1976 (Andon et al., 1968; Ambrose, 1968; Cox et al., 1969; Ambrose and Sprake, 1971; Hales and Townsend, 1974; Green and Harrison, 1976). Andon et al. (1968) described enthalpy and heatcapacity measurements on a sample of pentafluorophenol purified by zone refining. They list a purity of (99.97  $\pm$ 0.01) mol % obtained by fractional crystallization studies (Andon et al., 1968). That probably is an overestimation of the purity of the sample due to the purification technique used. Ambrose (1968) and later Ambrose and Sprake (1971) reported vapor-pressure measurements and estimated critical properties for pentafluorophenol. Cox et al. (1969) reported results of an enthalpy of formation study, which included details of enthalpy of sublimation measurements. Hales and Townsend (1974) measured densities along the saturation line in the temperature range 313 K to 490 K. Green and Harrison (1976) reported vibrational assignments and derived thermodynamic properties for a number of fluorocompounds including pentafluorophenol listing ideal-gas functions in the temperature range 273.15 K to 1500 K. Virtually simultaneous to the measurements of Andon et al. (1968), Paukov et al. (1969) also reported enthalpy, heat capacity, and phase transition measurements on pentafluorophenol in the temperature range 12.5 K to 328 K.

When the results reported in the literature for pentafluorophenol were reviewed, inconsistencies were evident. On attempting to fit the NPL vapor-pressure measurements using the Wagner equation and their listed critical properties, large deviations between the observed and calculated pressures were obtained. (Deviations fluctuated widely and were much larger than those obtained normally in such fits of NPL results.) In addition, as shown in Figure 7, the deviations between the ideal-gas entropy for pentafluorophenol derived from the calorimetric measurements reported by the NPL Group and the Green and Harrison (1976) reported functions were large and temperature dependent. This was particularly true if the heat-capacity measurements made at NIPER (Table 2 extending the temperature range of the measurements from 394 K to



**Figure 6.** Comparison of the vapor pressures for pentafluorophenol reported by Ambrose (1968) and Ambrose and Sprake (1971) with values obtained using the Wagner equation and the parameters listed in Table 5. The double-headed arrow represents the range of the measurements of this research and reported in Table 1.



**Figure 7.** Comparison of the spectroscopic ideal-gas entropies for pentafluorophenol determined using the vibrational assignment of Green and Harrison (1976) with values derived from the calorimetric measurements. The dashed line represents the difference derived using the vapor pressures determined in this research. ( $\Delta$ ) Derived using NPL vapor pressures of Ambrose (1968) and Ambrose and Sprake (1971).

approximately 580 K) were used in the calculations. Therefore, the full range of thermophysical property measurements (vapor pressure, density, and high-temperature heat capacity) were determined at NIPER using a high-purity sample (>99.98 mol % by GLC).

Figure 6 compares the vapor pressures reported by Ambrose (1968) and Ambrose and Sprake (1971) with values obtained using the Wagner equation and the parameters listed in Table 5. The differences are large and can only be explained by the presence of an impurity.

In agreement with the observations of Ambrose and Sprake (1971), sample decomposition at approximately 600 K prevented any reliable experimentally determined measurement of the critical temperature of pentafluorophenol. The fitting procedures outlined above gave  $T_c = (605 \pm 5)$  K,  $p_c = (3800 \pm 300)$  kPa, and  $\rho_c = (533 \pm 25)$  kg·m<sup>-3</sup>. Ambrose and Sprake (1971) list estimates of  $T_c = (609 \pm 5)$  K and  $\rho_c = (4000 \pm 100)$  kPa.

Hales and Townsend (1974) measured liquid-phase densities for pentafluorophenol in the temperature range 313.15 K to 490 K as part of a series of measurements on eight fluorinated aromatic compounds. Differences between their measurements and those reported in Table 4 range from the NIPER values being 1% higher at 313 K to coincidence in density values at 448 K. It was noted by Hales and Townsend that "the pentafluorophenol sample became discolored and there was a reaction with the phosphor-bronze parts of the sample cell." No decomposi-



**Figure 8.** Comparison of literature vapor pressures for 4-*tert*butylcatechol with values obtained using the Wagner equation and the parameters listed in Table 5. The double-headed arrow represents the range of the measurements of this research and reported in Table 1. The dashed line represents deviations of the DIPPR Project 801 correlation (Daubert and Danner, 1993). (□) Smith and Srivastava quoted reference (see text).

tion or reaction of the pentafluorophenol sample was evidenced during sample removal after the measurements reported in Table 4.

Figure 7 shows the deviations between the spectroscopic ideal-gas entropies for pentafluorophenol determined using the vibrational assignment of Green and Harrison (1976) and values derived from the calorimetric measurements. Using the NPL vapor pressures to derive enthalpies of vaporization, the deviation were significant and temperature dependent above 360 K. In contrast, using the results measured and derived in the NIPER research, the agreement between the spectroscopic and calorimetrically derived entropies is excellent between 300 K and 580 K and shows no significant temperature dependence.

**4-tert-Butylcatechol.** A critical temperature of  $(775 \pm$ 5) K, a critical pressure of  $(3700 \pm 300)$  kPa, and a critical density of (297  $\pm$  15) kg·m<sup>-3</sup> were obtained from the fitting procedures used in this research for 4-tert-butylcatechol. No experimentally determined values for these properties were obtained in a search of the literature. In fact, as in the case of 1,3,5-triethylbenzene, the literature search was most unproductive. Only one substantive reference was found to vapor-pressure measurements on 4-tert-butylcatechol. Smith and Srivastava (1986) reported the existence of a paper containing 20 vapor-pressure measurements in the temperature range 393 K to 489 K. However, the reference number, 7785, referred to in their table [Smith and Srivastava (1986), pp 722-723] is not listed in the bibliography for that volume. No such reference was found in the literature search conducted within this project; therefore, it was not possible to obtain the original data values.

Figure 8 compares values for the vapor pressure of 4-*tert*butylcatechol listed by Smith and Srivastava and values derived applying the DIPPR Project 801 correlation (Daubert and Danner, 1993) with values calculated using the Wagner vapor-pressure equation and the parameters listed in Table 5.

The purified sample of 4-*tert*-butylcatechol used in the thermophysical property measurements of this research remained liquid for several weeks after purification before becoming an opaque solid. The small measured enthalpy of fusion and the small difference between the heat capacities of the solid and liquid phases (see Table 2) indicate that the solid phase at ambient temperature is only partially crystalline or retains a high degree of the molecular mobility present in the liquid.

Ribeiro da Silva et al. (1984) measured enthalpy increments for conversion of the solid at 298.15 K to the vapor



**Figure 9.** Comparison of literature vapor pressures for  $\alpha$ -methylstyrene with values obtained using the Wagner equation and the parameters listed in Table 5. The doubled-headed arrow denotes the range of the vapor-pressure measurements obtained at NIPER. The solid line represents deviations of the DIPPR Project 801 correlation (Daubert and Danner, 1993). The dashed line represents deviations of the TRC listed vapor pressures (TRC, 1990). Key: ( $\mathbf{v}$ ) Auwers et al. (1910, 1912); ( $\bigcirc$ ) Stull (1947); (\*) Voronkov et al. (1949); ( $\triangle$ ) Laevskaya et al. (1979); ( $\diamondsuit$ ) Muthu et al. (1983); (**•**) Hamdouni et al. (1991).

at 380 K for several catechols, including 4-*tert*-butylcatechol, as part of a study of enthalpies of combustion and formation in the condensed and ideal-gas states. The experimentally measured values were "adjusted" to 298.15 K using estimates for the necessary heat capacities of the gas. Ribeiro da Silva et al. (1984) report an enthalpy of sublimation at 298.15 K,  $\Delta_c^g H_m^e = (99.3 \pm 1.4) \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$  for 4-*tert*-butylcatechol. The corresponding value obtained in this research (see Tables 2 and 7) is (100.8 ± 2.8) kJ \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}. The agreement between the results of Ribeiro da Silva et al. (1984) and the NIPER research points to both groups obtaining 4-*tert*-butylcatechol in the same solid form.

 $\alpha$ -Methylstyrene. Vapor pressures for  $\alpha$ -methylstyrene have been reported by Auwers et al. (1910, 1912), Voronkov et al. (1949), Stull in his 1947 compilation of vapor pressures, Laevskaya et al. (1979), Muthu et al. (1983), and Hamdouni et al. (1991). In addition, TRC (1996) report vapor-pressure values over a wide range of temperature (269 K to 467 K). Figure 9 compares all the above-mentioned vapor-pressure measurements to values derived using the Wagner equation and the parameters listed in Table 5. Included in the figure is a curve representing the DIPPR 801 correlation equation over the same temperature range as that reported in Table 1.

A critical temperature of  $(645 \pm 5)$  K, a critical pressure of  $(3550 \pm 300)$  kPa, and a critical density of  $(288 \pm 15)$  kg·m<sup>-3</sup> were obtained from the fitting procedures used in this research for  $\alpha$ -methylstyrene. No experimentally determined values for these properties were obtained in a search of the literature. Extensive polymerization prevents such measurements being accomplished with conventional equipment.

Figure 10 compares literature values for the density of  $\alpha$ -methylstyrene (Tiffeneau, 1907; Hamdouni et al., 1991; TRC, 1996) with values calculated using eq 8 and the parameters listed in the footnotes to Table 4. Also shown is a solid line representing deviations from the DIPPR 801 density versus temperature equation.

Lebedev and Rabinovich (1971) reported heat capacities and thermodynamic functions for both  $\alpha$ -methylstyrene and poly( $\alpha$ -methylstyrene) measured in an adiabatic calorimeter in the temperature region 60 K to 300 K. Extrapolation of the heat capacities,  $C_{sat,m}$ , listed in Table 6 to the lower temperatures listed by Lebedev and Rabinovich (1971) shows good agreement.



Figure 10. Comparison of literature values for the saturation liquid-phase density measurements for  $\alpha$ -methylstyrene with those obtained using eq 8 and the parameters listed in the footnotes to Table 4. The doubled-headed arrow denotes the range of the liquidphase density measurements obtained at NIPER. The solid line represents deviations of the DIPPR Project 801 correlation (Daubert and Danner, 1993). The dashed line represents deviations of the TRC listed densities (TRC, 1996). Key: (O) Tiffeneau (1907); (•) Hamdouni et al. (1991).

N,N-Bis(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylenediamine. No thermophysical property measurements on N,N-bis(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylenediamine were found in a search of the literature from 1930 through 1996.

### Acknowledgment

This is contribution number 366 from the Bartlesville Thermodynamics Research Laboratory. The research was carried out when the Laboratory was part of the entity known as the National Institute for Petroleum and Energy Research (NIPER). At the time of publication of this paper the corresponding entity is BDM Petroleum Technologies. The authors acknowledge Jim Reynolds of the NIPER Characterization Group for purification of samples. The authors also acknowledge the helpful discussions with members of the DIPPR Research Project 821 Committee, especially the 1993 Chairman, George H. Thomson, and the then DIPPR Technical Director, T. B. Selover, Jr.

#### **Literature Cited**

- Ambrose, D. Thermodynamic Properties of Fluorine Compounds. Part V. Vapour Pressures of Pentafluorobenzene, Chloropentafluorobenzene, 2,3,4,5,6-Pentafluorotoluene, and Pentafluorophenol. J. Chem. Soc. A 1968, 1381-1383.
- Ambrose, D.; Sprake, C. H. S. Thermodynamic Properties of Fluorine Compounds. Part X. Critical Properties and Vapour Pressures of Pentafluorobenzene, Chloropentafluorobenzene, 2,3,4,5,6-Pentafluorotoluene, and Pentafluorophenol. J. Chem. Soc. A 1971, 1263-1266.
- Ambrose, D.; Walton, J. Vapor Pressures up to Their Critical Temperatures of Normal Alkanes and 1-Alkanols. Pure Appl. Chem. **1989**, *61*, 1395–1403.
- Ambrose, D.; Ewing, M. B.; Ghiassee, N. B.; Sanchez Ochoa, J. C. The Ebulliometric Method of Vapour-Pressure Measurement: Vapour Pressures of Benzene, Hexafluorobenzene, and Naphthalene. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 1990, 22, 589–605.
- Andon, R. J. L.; Counsell, J. F.; Hales, J. L.; Lees, E. B.; Martin, J. F. Thermodynamic Properties of Fluorine Compounds. Part VII. Heat Capacity and Entropy of Pentafluorochlorobenzene and Pentafluorophenol. J. Chem. Soc. A **1968**, 2357–2361. Auwers, von K.; Roth, W. A.; Eisenlohr, F. Thermochemical Investiga-
- tions. III. Heats of Combustion of Terpenes and Styrenes. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1910, 373, 267-290.
- Auwers, von K.; Roth, W. A.; Eisenlohr, F. Thermochemical Investigations. IV. Heats of Combustion of Terpenes and Styrenes and Calculated Heat of Combustion. *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1912**, *385*, 102–
- Bodnaryuk, F. N.; Korshavov, M. A.; Kislev, V. Ya.; Sumin, I. G. A New Method for Synthesizing Oligoester Acrylates. Zh. Prikl. Khim. (Leningrad) 1972, 45, 2500–2505.
- Chirico, R. D.; Steele, W. V. Reconciliation Of Calorimetrically And Spectroscopically Derived Thermodynamic Properties at Pressures Greater Than 0.1 MPa for Benzene and Methylbenzene: The Importance of the Third Virial Coefficient. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 1994, 33, 157-167.

- Chirico, R. D.; Knipmeyer, S. E.; Nguyen, A.; Steele, W. V. Thermodynamic Properties of Biphenyl. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 1989a, 21, 1307-1331
- Chirico, R. D.; Nguyen, A.; Steele, W. V.; Strube, M. M.; Tsonopoulos, C. The Vapor Pressure of n-Alkanes Revisited. New Vapor Pressure Data on n-Decane, n-Eicosane and n-Octacosane. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1989b, 34, 149-156.
- Chirico, R. D.; Knipmeyer, S. E.; Nguyen, A.; Steele, W. V. The Thermodynamic Properties of Dibenzothiophene. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 1991, 23, 431-450.
- Chirico, R. D.; Knipmeyer, S. E.; Nguyen, A.; Smith, N. K.; Steele, W. V. The Thermodynamic Properties of 4,5,9,10-Tetrahydropyrene and 1,2,3,6,7,8-Hexahydropyrene J. Chem. Thermodyn. 1993, 25, 729-761
- Cohen, E. R.; Taylor, B. N. The 1986 CODATA Recommended Values of the Fundamental Physical Constants. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 1988, 17, 1795-1803.
- Colomina, M.; Laynez, J. L.; Perez-Ossono, R.; Turrion, C. Enthalpies of Combustion and Formation of Six Methyl Esters of Benzene Carboxylic Acids J. Chem. Thermodyn. 1972, 4, 499-506.
- Cox, J. D.; Gundry, H. A.; Harrop, D.; Head, A. J. Thermodynamic Properties of Fluorine Compounds. 9. Enthalpies of Formation of some Compounds Containing the Pentafluorophenyl Group. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 1969, 1, 77–87.
- Cross, J. D.; Hunter, R.; Stemson, V. R. The Thermal Decomposition of Simple Carbonate Esters. Aust. J. Chem. 1976, 29, 1477–1481.
- Daubert, T. E.; Danner, R. P. Physical and Thermodynamic Properties of Pure Chemicals: Data Compilation; DIPPR Project 801; Hemisphere: New York, 1993.
- Douslin, D. R.; McCullough, J. P. An Inclined-Piston Deadweight Pressure Gage. Bur. Mines Rep. Invest. 1963, No. 6149, 11 pp.
- Douslin, D. R.; Osborn, A. G. Pressure Measurements in the 0.01-30 mm Range with an Inclined-Piston Gauge. J. Sci. Instrum. 1965, *42*. 369–373.
- DuPont de Nemours. 4-Oxo-1,3-dioxanes. U.S. Patent 3,503,993, March 31, 1970; Chem. Abstr. 1970, 73, 14860Z.
- Gibbons, L. C.; Thompson, J. F.; Reynolds, T. W.; Wright, J. I.; Chanan, H. H.; Lamberti, J. M.; Hipsher, H. F.; Karabinos, J. V. Purification and Physical Constants of Aromatic Hydrocarbons. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1945, 68, 1130-1131.
- Goldberg, R. N.; Weir, R. D. Conversion of Temperatures and Thermodynamic Properties to the Basis of the International Temperature Scale of 1990. Pure Appl. Chem. 1990, 64, 1545-1562
- Gray, P.; Williams, A. The Thermochemistry and Reactivity of Alkoxyl Radicals. Chem. Rev. 1959, 59, 239-328.
- Green, J. H. S.; Harrison, D. J. Thermodynamic Properties of Fluorine Compounds. 19. Hexafluorobenzene, Pentafluorophenol, 1,3,5-Trichlorotrifluorobenzene, and Pentafluorobenzaldehyde: Vibrational Assignments and Chemical Thermodynamic Properties. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 1976, 8, 529-544.
- Hales, J. L.; Townsend, R. Liquid Densities from 293 to 490 K of Nine Aromatic Hydrocarbons. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 1972, 4, 763-772.
- Hales, J. L.; Townsend, R. Liquid Densities from 293 K to 490 K of Eight Fluorinated Aromatic Compounds. J. Chem. Thermodyn. **1974**, *6*, 111–116.
- Hamdouni, A.; Leonard, J.; Bui, Van Tam Modeling Vapor Pressure Tain Wolening A., Leonard, J., Bull, Van Tain Wolening Vapor Tressure Data of Ternary α-Methylstyrene-Toluene-Poly(α-methylstyrene) System. *Polym. J. (Tokyo)* **1991**, *23*, 219–226.
  Hildebrand, J. H.; Scott, R. L. *Regular Solutions*; Prentice Hall: Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1962.
- IUPAC Commission on Atomic Weights and Isotopic Abundances. Atomic Weights of the Elements 1991. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data **1993**, 22, 1571-1584.
- Jiang, B.; Zhang, J. Determination and Correlation of Vapor Pressures of Dimethyl Carbonate and 2,3-Dichloropropene. Tianjin Daxue Xuebao (Journal of Tianjin University) 1987, 1, 54-62.
- Kerr, J. A. Bond Dissociation Energies by Kinetic Methods. Chem. Rev. **1966**, 66, 465-500.
- Knipmeyer, S. E.; Archer, D. G.; Chirico, R. D.; Gammon, B. E.; Hossenlopp, I. A.; Nguyen, A.; Smith, N. K.; Steele, W. V.; Strube, M. M. High-Temperature Enthalpy and Critical Property Measurements using a Differential Scanning Calorimeter. Fluid Phase Equilib. 1989, 52, 185-192.
- Kogerman, P. N.; Kranig, J. Physical Constants of Some Alkyl Carbonates. Acta Commentat. Univ. Tartu. (Dorpat.) 1927, 12 (7), 3 - 11.
- Kucharenko, T. A.; Grigor'eva, E. A. Neftekhymia 1970, 10, 303-305.
- Kraus, M.; Beranck, L.; Kochloefl, K.; Bazant, V. Vapor Pressure of Benzenecarboxylic Acids and their Derivatives. Chem. Prum. 1962, 12. 649-652
- Laevskaya, N. S.; Bagrov, I. V.; Dobroserdov, I. L.; Sokolkina, T. P. Liquid-Vapor Equilibrium of Systems Formed by Styrene with Benzene and a Series of its Derivatives. Zh. Prikl. Khim (Leningrad) 1979, 52, 687-689.
- Lebedev, B. V.; Rabinovich, I. B. Heat Capacities and Thermodynamic Functions of α-Methyl-styrene and Poly(α-methylstyrene). Tr. Khim. Khim. Tekhnol. 1971, 1, 12–15.
- Mangum, B. W.; Furukawa, G. T. Guidelines for Realizing the International Temperature Scale of 1990 (ITS-90). NIST Technical Note 1265; National Institute of Standards and Technology: Gaithersburg, MD, 1990.

- Murogova, R. A.; Tudorosvakaya, G. L.; Laufer, V. V.; Kozlova, V. D.; Serafimov, L. A. Liquid-Vapor Equilibrium in Systems Formed by Setamov, L. A. Enquel-vapor Equilibrium in Systems Formed by Esterification Products of Isophthalic Acid at a Pressure of 15 mm Mercury. J. Appl. Chem. USSR 1974, 47, 2079–2081.
   Muthu, O.; Munjal, S.; Smith, B. D. Total Pressure Vapor-Liquid Equilibria Data for Binary Systems of Acetone with Isopropylben-tion.
- zene and Isopropenylbenzene. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1983, 28, 192-196.
- Orbey, H.; Vera, J. H. Correlation for the Third Virial Coefficient using  $T_c$ ,  $p_c$ , and  $\omega$  as Parameters. *AIChE J.* **1983**, *29*, 107–113. Osborn A. G.; Douslin, D. R. Vapor Pressure Relations of 36 Sulfur
- Compounds Present in Petroleum. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1966, 11, 502 - 509
- Paukov, I. E.; Lavrent'eva, M. N.; Anisimov, M. P. Heat Capacity, Phase Transitions, Entropy, and Enthalpy of Pentafluorophenol at Low Temperatures. Russ. J. Phys. Chem. **1969**, 43, 436–438. Pitzer, K. S.; Curl, R. F., Jr. The Volumetric and Thermodynamic
- Properties of Fluids. III. Empirical Equation for the Second Virial Coefficient. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1957, 79, 2369-2370.
- Ribeiro da Silva, M. D. M. C.; Ribeiro da Silva, M. A. V.; Pilcher, G. Enthalpies of Combustion Of 1,2-Dihydroxybenzene and of Six Alkylsubstituted 1,2-Dihydroxybenzenes. J. Chem. Thermodyn. **1984**, *16*, 1149–1155.
- Riedel, L. Liquid Density in the Saturated State. Extension of the Theorem of Corresponding States II. Chem.-Ing.-Tech. 1954, 26, 259 - 264.
- Scott, D. W.; Finke, H. L.; Gross, M. E.; Guthrie, G. B.; Huffman, H. M. 2,3-Dithiabutane: Low Temperature Heat Capacity, Heat of Fusion, Heat of Vaporization, Vapor Pressure, Entropy, and Thermodynamic Functions J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1950, 72, 2424-2430.
- Smith, B. D.; Srivastava, R. Thermodynamic Data for Pure Compounds. Part B. Halogenated Hydrocarbons and Alcohols, Physical Sciences Data 25; Elsevier: New York, 1986.
- Steele, W. V. Fifty Years of Thermodynamics Research at Bartlesville. The Hugh M. Huffman Legacy. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 1995, 27, 135-162.
- Steele, W. V. Unpublished results, 1996.
- Steele, W. V.; Archer, D. G.; Chirico, R. D.; Collier, W. B.; Hossenlopp, I. A.; Nguyen, A.; Smith, N. K.; Gammon, B. E. The Thermodynamic Properties of Quinoline and Isoquinoline. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **1988**a, *20*, 123–1264. Steele, W. V.; Chirico, R. D.; Knipmeyer, S. E.; Smith, N. K. High-
- Temperature Heat-Capacity Measurements and Critical Property Determinations using a Differential Scanning Calorimeter (Development of Methodology and Application to Pure Organic Compounds). NIPER-360; DOE Fossil Energy, Bartlesville Project Office: Bar-tlesville, OK, December 1988b. Available from NTIS Order No. DE89000709.
- Steele, W. V.; Chirico, R. D.; Knipmeyer, S. E.; Nguyen, A. The Thermodynamic Properties of 2-Aminobiphenyl. J. Chem. Thermo-
- *dyn.* **1991**, *23*, 957–977. Steele, W. V.; Chirico, R. D.; Nguyen, A.; Knipmeyer, S. E. Vapor Pressures, High-Temperature Heat Capacities, Critical Properties, Derived Thermodynamic Functions, and Barriers to Methyl-Group Rotation, for the Six Dimethylpyridines. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 1995, 27.311 - 334
- Steele, W. V.; Chirico, R. D.; Knipmeyer, S. E.; Nguyen, A. Vapor Pressure of Acetophenone, (±)-1,2-Butanediol, (±)-1,3-Butanediol, Diethylene Glycol Monopropyl Ether, 1,3-Dimethyladamantane,

2-Ethoxyethyl Acetate, Ethyl Octyl Sulfide, and Pentyl Acetate. J. *Chem. Eng. Data* **1996a**, *41*, 1255–1268. Steele, W. V.; Chirico, R. D.; Knipmeyer, S. E.; Nguyen, A.; Smith, N.

- K.; Tasker, I. R. Thermodynamic Properties and Ideal-Gas Enthal-pies of Formation for Cyclohexene, Phthalan (2,5-Dihydrobenzo-3,4 furan), Isoxazole, Octylamine, Dioctylamine, Trioctylamine, Phenyl Isocyanate, and 1,4,5,6-Tetrahydropyrimidine. J. Chem. Eng. Data
- **1996b**, *41*, 1269–1284. Steele, W. V.; Chirico, R. D.; Knipmeyer, S. E.; Nguyen, A.; Smith, N. K. Thermodynamic Properties and Ideal-Gas Enthalpies of Forma-tion for Butyl Vinyl Ether, 1,2-Dimethoxyethane, Methyl Glycolate, Bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-ene, 5-Vinylbicyclo[2.2.1]-hept-2-ene, trans-Azobenzene, Butyl Acrylate, Di-tert-butyl Ether, and Hexane-1,6diol. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1996c, 41, 1285-1302.
- Stull, D. R. Vapor Pressures of Pure Substances. Organic Compounds. Ind. Eng. Chem. 1947, 39, 518–550; 1947, 39, 1684
- Swietoslawski, W. Ebulliometric Measurements; Reinhold: New York, 1945.
- Thiebaut, J.-M.; Rivail, J.-L.; Greffe, J.-L. Dielectric Studies of Non Electrolyte Solutions. Part 3 Conformational Equilibria in 1,2-Dichloroethane and Dimethyl Carbonate. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday *Trans. 2* **1976**, *72*, 2024–2034.
- Tiffeneau, M. Benzene Hydrocarbons with a Pseudo-Allyl Side Chain: Methovinyl Benzene and its Homologues. Ann. Chim. Phys. (Paris) 1907, 10, 145-198.
- TRC Thermochemical Tables-Hydrocarbons. (Formerly API Research Project 44, then TRC Hydrocarbon Project. Selected Values of Properties of Hydrocarbons and Related Compounds, Category A. Tables of Physical and Thermodynamic Properties.) Thermodynamics Research Center, Texas A&M University: College Station, TX, Extant 1996.
- Vogel, A. I. XXIII Miscellaneous Compounds. Investigations of the Socalled Coordinate or Dative Link in Esters of Oxy Acids and in Nitro Paraffins by Molecular Refractivity Determinations. Atomic, Structural, and Group Parachors and Refractivities. J. Chem. Soc. 1948, 1833-1855
- Voronkov, M. G.; Broun, A. S.; Karpenko, G. P.; Gol'shtein, B. L. Reactions of Sulfur with Unsaturated Compounds. III. Synthesis of 2-Phenylthiophene. Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1949, 19, 1356-1364.
- Wagner, W. New Vapor Pressure Measurements for Argon and Nitrogen and a New Method for Establishing Rational Vapor Pressure Equations. Cryogenics 1973, 13, 470-482.
- Wegscheider, R.; Perdanner, H. I.; Auspitzer, O. Esterification of Unsymmetric Di- and Polybasic Acids. XXXIII. Trimellitic Acid. *Monatsh. Chem.* 1911, 31, 1253–1301.
   Wright, F. J. Latent Heat of Vaporization and Composition. *Recl. Trav.*
- Chim. Pays-Bas 1960, 79, 784-789.

Received for review April 23, 1997. Accepted September 2,  $1997.^{\otimes}$  We gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the participating companies in Design Institute for Physical Property Data (DIPPR) Project 821. This research was accomplished within the Work for Others Program at NIPER as part of the cooperative agreement with the U.S. Department of Energy agreement DE-FC22-83FE60149.

#### JE970102D

<sup>®</sup> Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, October 1, 1997.